

MCRO | Exhibit EML-B — Authentication Registry & Narrative Timeline (Part 1 of 3)

Report Date: March 2026

Exhibit Reference: Doc. 62, Case 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM (D.Minn.)

Exhibit Title: Exhibit EML-B: Digitally Authenticated Email Communication

Filed: July 19, 2025 (PACER/CM-ECF)

Source Case: State of Minnesota v. Guertin | 27-CR-23-1886

Court: Fourth Judicial District Court, Hennepin County, Minnesota

Email Corpus: 26 .eml files | 62 attachments | Feb 13 – Sep 25, 2023

Participants: Matthew D. Guertin (Plaintiff, Pro Se) ↔ Dr. Jill Rogstad (Sr. Clinical Forensic Psychologist)

Database: PostgreSQL (Supabase) — MCRO Forensic Schema

Reproducibility: All database-derived findings are query-reproducible. All SHA-256 hashes are independently verifiable.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This report presents an objective forensic inventory and narrative analysis of Exhibit EML-B, a 500-page exhibit filed into [Guertin v. Walz, Case No. 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM](#) (D. Minn.) on July 19, 2025. The analysis catalogs email communications, cryptographic hashes, and attachment inventories as they appear in the exhibit, and cross-references email dates against the MCRO forensic database docket record for case 27-CR-23-1886.

This report does not assert conclusions about guilt, fabrication, intent, or motive. Factual claims made by the email participants are cataloged for independent verification in Part 2 of this series and are presented here without endorsement or refutation. Narrative summaries describe the content of the communications as written; rhetorical devices employed by the participants are identified factually without editorial interpretation.

All database-derived statistics were freshly computed from the live MCRO forensic database via SQL queries reproduced in the Appendix. SHA-256 hashes are reproduced as they appear in the exhibit PDF.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Exhibit EML-B contains **26 digitally authenticated email communications** between Matthew D. Guertin and Dr. Jill Rogstad, spanning **224 days** (February 13 through September 25, 2023). The exhibit was filed as Doc. 62 in the federal civil action Guertin v. Walz and comprises 500 pages. Each email is accompanied by its SHA-256 cryptographic hash, an OpenTimestamps (OTS) blockchain timestamp marker, and MIME metadata markers. A total of **62 file attachments** with individual SHA-256 hashes are documented across the exhibit.

The email chain documents the complete communication between Guertin and the forensic psychologist who produced his first Rule 20.01 competency evaluation report — the report that initiated his entry into the competency proceedings documented across the broader MCRO forensic analysis corpus. Dr. Rogstad's Rule 20 Evaluation Report was filed on **March 10, 2023**, just **9 days** after the in-person evaluation interview conducted on March 1, 2023. Guertin was subsequently found incompetent on **July 13, 2023**. As of the most recent database scrape, the case remains **Open** with **29 Rule 20-related docket events** and a linked Mental Health case (27-MH-PR-23-815).

Of the 26 emails, Guertin authored **20** and Rogstad authored **6**. Rogstad's responses consist of: (1) acknowledging Guertin's initial email and requesting he cease pre-interview correspondence; (2) answering a logistical question about bringing devices; (3) stating that evaluations are not recorded and that she would stop responding to pre-interview emails; (4) confirming receipt of four post-interview emails with attachments; (5) directing Guertin to stop emailing and contact his attorney; and (6) stating her involvement was complete and blocking his contact information.

The exhibit documents **42 verifiable factual claims** made by Guertin across the email chain, categorized as: Patent/IP, Professional Achievement, Business, Mental Health/Procedural, and Internet Archive/AI fraud claims. All claims are cataloged with "Pending Verification" status for independent analysis in Part 2.

Corpus Overview

Metric	Value
--------	-------

Total .eml files authenticated	26
Total unique SHA-256 hashes (emails + attachments)	87
Total email attachments	62
Email date span	Feb 13 – Sep 25, 2023 (224 days)
Emails from Guertin	20
Emails from Rogstad	6
Email threads	5
Exhibit pages	500
Federal case reference	0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM, Doc. 62
State case cross-reference	27-CR-23-1886 (4th Judicial District)
Rule 20 events (case 27-CR-23-1886)	29
Linked MH case	27-MH-PR-23-815 (Closed)
Total docket events (2023)	28
Verifiable factual claims cataloged	42

Key Metrics

Metric	Value
Days: first email → in-person interview (Mar 1)	16
Days: in-person interview → Rule 20 report filed	9
Days: first email → Rule 20 report filed	25
Days: Rule 20 report → Finding of Incompetency	125
Rogstad's total substantive responses	6 of 26 emails
Guertin emails containing external verifiable links	6 (emails #1, #5, #7–10, #12–13, #14, #25)
Attachments in Thread 4 alone (May 1, 2023)	39 files across 9 emails in ~75 minutes
Pages of evidence Guertin sent Rogstad	~460+ exhibit pages of attachment content
Total verifiable factual claims cataloged	42
Rule 20 evaluators across full case timeline	3 (Rogstad, Milz, Cranbrook)

METHODS

This analysis employed a multi-source methodology combining exhibit parsing, cryptographic hash extraction, and live database cross-referencing.

Exhibit Parsing

The full 500-page exhibit PDF was extracted using pdftotext (Poppler Utils) and parsed programmatically to identify: (a) all 26 email header blocks containing sender, recipient, date, subject, and SHA-256 hash fields; (b) all 62 attachment header blocks containing filename, SHA-256 hash, and page count fields; and (c) all embedded markers indicating the presence of .eml source files, .ots timestamp files, and metadata files. Email body content was extracted page-by-page for narrative analysis.

Database Cross-Reference

The MCRO forensic database (Supabase, project ibfmjtwahkwqzcmeyqii, us-west-2) was queried via SELECT-only SQL to retrieve: docket events for case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' from parents_case_events; judicial officer and examiner assignments from sub-tables; PDF document filings from children; case-level metadata from parents and case_registry; and cluster-linked cases. All SQL queries are reproduced in Appendix A.

Tables and Views Used

parents_case_events, parents_case_events_judicial_officers, parents_case_events_examiners, children, parents, case_registry. No materialized views or write operations were used.

Factual Claims Extraction

Each email was read in full and all specific, verifiable factual claims were extracted and categorized. Claims were identified as statements of fact (not opinion) that reference specific entities, dates, publications, patent numbers, corporate filings, police reports, or other independently verifiable sources. Each claim was assigned a verification type and tagged as “Pending Verification” for Part 2 analysis.

FINDINGS

Finding 1: Exhibit-Level Authentication

Background

Exhibit EML-B was filed into the federal civil action [Guertin v. Walz, Case No. 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM](#) (D. Minn.) as Document 62 on July 19, 2025. The filing was made through the PACER/CM-ECF electronic filing system of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. This analysis documents the exhibit's provenance as a federal court record.

Findings

The exhibit PDF bears the standard PACER/CM-ECF page stamping on every page, formatted as:

CASE 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM | Doc. 62 | Filed 07/19/25 | Page [N] of 500

Property	Value
Case Number	0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM
Document Number	62
Filing Date	July 19, 2025
Total Pages	500
PDF Producer	PyPDF2; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 — Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Modification Date	Sun Jul 20 03:26:59 2025 UTC
Court	U.S. District Court, District of Minnesota
Presiding Judge	PAM (Judge Patrick A. Murphy) / DLM (Magistrate Judge Douglas L. Micko)

The PDF producer string “PyPDF2; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 — Administrative Office of the United States Courts” is the standard watermark applied by the PACER system when a document is filed through CM-ECF. PyPDF2 indicates the filing party used a Python-based PDF tool to assemble the exhibit, and the iText modification reflects the PACER system's standard post-filing processing (page stamping, header insertion).

Significance

The PACER filing creates an immutable federal court record. Once filed, the document is assigned a permanent docket entry number and becomes part of the official case record. The PACER stamp on every page independently confirms: (a) the document's existence as a federal court filing; (b) its total page count of 500; and (c) its filing date of July 19, 2025. This constitutes the third layer of the authentication chain described in Finding 4.

Connecting Context

The full exhibit is accessible at [CourtListener \(Doc. 62\)](#) and [Storage.CourtListener.com \(direct PDF\)](#). The original version with embedded .eml files is available at [MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml](#).

Finding 2: Complete .eml Authentication Registry

Background

Each of the 26 emails in the exhibit is presented with a standardized header block containing: the email subject line, date and time (local time, corresponding to Central Standard/Daylight Time), sender and recipient addresses, the SHA-256 cryptographic hash of the underlying .eml source file, the page count within the exhibit, and three embedded object markers: the .eml source file itself, its OpenTimestamps (.ots) blockchain timestamp, and its MIME metadata.

Findings

26 unique .eml SHA-256 hashes were extracted from the exhibit, one per email. All 26 emails display all three authentication markers ([.eml source file], [.ots timestamp of .eml source file], [Metadata of .eml source file]). The emails span 5 threads across 224 days.

#	Date	Sender	Subject (abbreviated)	Pag es	Att.
1	Feb 13, 2023 4:59 PM	MDG	Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Di...	3	0
2	Feb 14, 2023 4:16 PM	JER	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction an...	1	0
3	Feb 14, 2023 9:40 PM	MDG	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction an...	1	0
4	Feb 15, 2023 4:40 PM	JER	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction an...	1	0
5	Feb 15, 2023 6:11 PM	MDG	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction an...	2	1
6	Feb 17, 2023 2:50 PM	JER	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction an...	1	0
7	Mar 2, 2023 9:44 PM	MDG	Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix	1	1
8	Mar 3, 2023 12:04 AM	MDG	RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix	2	3
9	Mar 3, 2023 12:07 AM	MDG	RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix	2	1
10	Mar 3, 2023 12:14 AM	MDG	RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix	2	2
11	Mar 7, 2023 10:45 AM	JER	RE: RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix	1	0
12	Apr 26, 2023 1:37 PM	MDG	Need Help Overcoming These Very Realistic Psychotic ...	4	12
13	Apr 26, 2023 1:56 PM	MDG	RE: Need Help Overcoming These Very Realistic Psycho...	4	3
14	May 1, 2023 3:45 PM	MDG	Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	2	5
15	May 1, 2023 3:46 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	2	5
16	May 1, 2023	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor	2	7

6	3:47 PM		Please		
1 7	May 1, 2023 3:48 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	2	7
1 8	May 1, 2023 3:50 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	3	5
1 9	May 1, 2023 3:50 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	3	3
2 0	May 1, 2023 3:51 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	3	3
2 1	May 1, 2023 3:53 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	4	3
2 2	May 1, 2023 4:02 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	5	1
2 3	May 1, 2023 4:06 PM	JER	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	1	0
2 4	May 1, 2023 7:37 PM	MDG	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please	2	0
2 5	Sep 25, 2023 9:51 AM	MDG	The Matt Guertin Show	1	0
2 6	Sep 25, 2023 11:36 AM	JER	RE: The Matt Guertin Show	1	0

MDG = Matthew D. Guertin | JER = Jill E. Rogstad | Att. = Attachment count

The complete registry with full SHA-256 hashes is provided in Appendix B (see Appendix B).

Significance

Every email in the chain is cryptographically fingerprinted with a SHA-256 hash of the raw .eml source file. Because SHA-256 is a one-way cryptographic hash function, any modification to the email content, headers, or attachments would produce a completely different hash value. The 26 unique hashes provide an independently verifiable chain of custody for the email correspondence.

Connecting Context

The presence of OTS timestamp markers on all 26 emails indicates that each .eml file was anchored to the Bitcoin blockchain via OpenTimestamps, providing an independent temporal proof of existence. The original exhibit (available at MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml) contains these .ots files as embedded objects; the PACER-stamped version may not preserve embedded file functionality.

Finding 3: Attachment Inventory

Background

Email attachments in the exhibit are presented following each parent email, with standardized header blocks containing the attachment filename, SHA-256 hash, page count, and embedded object markers. This analysis inventories all attachments across the 26 emails.

Findings

62 attachment entries were extracted across **16 emails** (10 emails had no attachments). These 62 entries correspond to **61 unique SHA-256 hashes** (one file, 3_6.pdf, appears in both emails #19 and #20 with the same hash, confirming it is the identical file).

Thread	Attachments	Key Files
Thread 1 (emails 1–6)	1	psychotherapy.pdf
Thread 2 (emails 7–11)	7	Matrix.png, IP attorney email, Wayback Machine, police report, archive screenshots, Show_and_tell.pdf
Thread 3 (emails 12–13)	15	12 images (JPG) + 3 PDFs (certified mailers, USPTO filing, EFS screenshot)
Thread 4 (emails 14–24)	39	Numbered PDF series (1_1 through 3_7, AI checkerboard analysis, PhotoRobot artifacts)
Thread 5 (emails 25–26)	0	N/A
TOTAL	62	

The complete attachment inventory with SHA-256 hashes is provided in Appendix C.

Significance

The attachment volume increases dramatically across the email chain. Thread 4 alone contains 39 attachments across 9 Guertin emails sent in approximately 75 minutes on May 1, 2023. The majority of Thread 4 attachments appear to be numbered PDF series (1_1.pdf through 3_7.pdf) containing screenshot evidence related to Guertin's claims about AI-generated content on PhotoRobot websites.

Finding 4: Authentication Methodology Explainer

Background

Exhibit EML-B employs a three-layer authentication framework to establish the integrity, temporal existence, and judicial filing status of each email communication. This section explains each layer in plain English for a general audience.

Findings

What is an .eml file? An .eml file is the raw source format of an email message. It contains the complete email as transmitted: the full routing headers (showing every server the email passed through), the message body, all MIME-encoded attachments, and all metadata fields (timestamps, message IDs, content types). An .eml file is the digital equivalent of a sealed, postmarked envelope containing a letter — it preserves not just the message content but all the delivery infrastructure data.

What does SHA-256 hashing prove? SHA-256 is a cryptographic hash function that takes any input (a file, a string of text, etc.) and produces a fixed 64-character hexadecimal fingerprint. The critical properties are: (a) the same input always produces the same hash; (b) even a single-bit change to the input produces a completely different hash; (c) it is computationally infeasible to reverse-engineer the original input from the hash. For email authentication, this means: if the SHA-256 hash of an .eml file matches the hash recorded in this exhibit, the email has not been modified in any way since the hash was computed.

What does OpenTimestamps (OTS) prove? OpenTimestamps is a protocol that anchors a SHA-256 hash to the Bitcoin blockchain. The Bitcoin blockchain is a decentralized, immutable ledger — once a record is written, it cannot be altered without invalidating the entire chain. An OTS timestamp proves that a specific file (identified by its SHA-256 hash) existed in its exact form on or before the date of the Bitcoin block in which it was recorded. This provides an independent, third-party temporal attestation that does not rely on any single server, organization, or individual.

What do the exhibit markers represent? Each email in the exhibit displays three markers: [.eml source file] indicates the original .eml file is embedded as an object in the source PDF; [.ots timestamp of .eml source file] indicates the OTS blockchain timestamp file is embedded; [Metadata of .eml source file] indicates the email's MIME metadata is embedded. The PACER-stamped version filed into federal court may not preserve embedded file functionality, but the original version at MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml does.

The Three-Layer Authentication Chain:

Layer	What It Proves
Layer 1: SHA-256 (File Integrity)	Any modification to any .eml file = different hash = detectable tampering
Layer 2: OTS (Temporal Existence)	Bitcoin blockchain proves each .eml existed in its exact form on/before the timestamp date
Layer 3: PACER (Judicial Filing)	Federal court filing on 7/19/2025 creates an immutable judicial record of the exhibit

Significance

The combination of SHA-256 hashing, OTS blockchain timestamping, and PACER filing creates a chain of authentication that is resistant to post-hoc alteration at every level. To forge or alter

an email in this exhibit, an adversary would need to: (a) modify the .eml file in a way that produces the same SHA-256 hash (computationally infeasible); (b) retroactively alter a Bitcoin blockchain record (practically impossible); and (c) alter a filed federal court document (a federal offense). Each layer independently attests to a different aspect of authenticity.

Finding 5: Thread-by-Thread Narrative Summary

Background

The 26 emails organize into 5 distinct threads based on subject line and temporal clustering. This section provides a comprehensive narrative summary of each thread, describing the content, key factual claims, and communication dynamics as they appear in the exhibit.

Thread 1: “Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts” (Feb 13–17, 2023)

Emails: #1–#6 | **Date range:** Feb 13–17, 2023 | **Direction:** 3 Guertin, 3 Rogstad

Email #1 (Guertin → Rogstad, Feb 13): Guertin’s opening email is a 3-page introduction written before the scheduled March 1 in-person evaluation interview. He describes himself as the CEO of InfiniSet, Inc. (a Delaware C-corp), states that his patent application was filed March 19, 2021, and that the patent would officially grant on February 14, 2023. He states the patent would be filed as prior art against what he calls “the Netflix patent” (Stephan Trojansky / US Patent filed March 31, 2021, 12 days after Guertin’s application). He states Netflix acquired Trojansky’s company Scanline VFX for at least \$100 million. He describes discovering fraud at the Internet Archive / Wayback Machine involving backdated copies of recently created pages, and claims this involves AI-generated content. He discusses a police report filed with Minnetonka PD (Report #23-000151, January 12, 2023) as evidence he was reporting concerns before the criminal incident. He asks whether he may audio-record the interview.

Email #2 (Rogstad → Guertin, Feb 14): Rogstad acknowledges the email and states the information is “definitely relevant.” She requests that Guertin not send additional emails, stating the March 1 interview is a more appropriate forum. She invites him to bring documentation to the appointment. She explicitly states he is NOT permitted to audio-record the interview, citing Hennepin County District Court policy.

Email #3 (Guertin → Rogstad, Feb 14): Guertin asks whether an HDMI cable and monitor could be available for sharing digital evidence during the interview.

Email #4 (Rogstad → Guertin, Feb 15): Rogstad responds that she cannot provide materials but notes the Government Center has public WiFi, and suggests he bring a phone, tablet, or laptop.

Email #5 (Guertin → Rogstad, Feb 15): A 2-page email (with 1 PDF attachment: psychotherapy.pdf). Guertin asks detailed questions about evaluation recording protocols, data archiving procedures, and the parameters of Rogstad’s role. He acknowledges her credentials and states his situation involves his corporation, patent, and reputation as CEO.

Email #6 (Rogstad → Guertin, Feb 17): Rogstad states that Psychological Services does not audio or video record evaluations, nor does it allow examinees to produce recordings. She states she will stop responding to emails and directs all further questions to the March 1 appointment.

Thread 2: “Language Analysis Matrix” (Mar 2–7, 2023)

Emails: #7–#11 | **Date range:** Mar 2–7, 2023 | **Direction:** 4 Guertin, 1 Rogstad

Email #7 (Guertin → Rogstad, Mar 2): Sent the day after the March 1 in-person interview. Guertin sends a high-resolution language analysis matrix he created using MAXQDA software, which he references having discussed during the interview. The matrix analyzes word frequency patterns across PhotoRobot blog articles. He notes the file has an original creation date of

December 12, 2022. He thanks Rogstad and states it was “very nice to meet you.” Attachment: Matrix.png.

Emails #8–10 (Guertin → Rogstad, Mar 3, 12:04–12:14 AM): A rapid 3-email sequence sent within 10 minutes. Email #8 includes 3 attachments: (a) correspondence with an IP attorney discussing fraud, (b) a Wayback Machine signup email dated 12/09/2022, and (c) the Minnetonka PD Police Report #23-000151 filed January 12, 2023. Email #9 includes 1 attachment: a web archive screenshot of photorobot.com. Email #10 includes 2 attachments: another web archive screenshot and a file titled Show_and_tell.pdf.

Email #11 (Rogstad → Guertin, Mar 7): A brief response: “Thank you, Mr. Guertin. I wanted to confirm receipt of four emails with the attachments.”

Thread 3: “Psychotic Delusions” (Apr 26, 2023)

Emails: #12–#13 | **Date:** Apr 26, 2023 | **Direction:** 2 Guertin

Email #12 (Guertin → Rogstad, Apr 26): A 4-page email sent approximately 47 days after the Rule 20 Evaluation Report was filed (March 10, 2023). The email employs sustained irony, presenting Guertin’s verifiable professional achievements as if they were the “psychotic delusions” he was ostensibly diagnosed with. Guertin provides direct hyperlinks to multiple independently verifiable publications and projects, including: a PLSN magazine article crediting him as the engineer who built an LED eye set piece at XiteLabs; a BlackTrax/cast-soft showcase crediting him for “Falcon Design, Fabrication Direction and Engineering”; a Disguise.one case study for the LA Philharmonic crediting him for “Touch Designer system design”; and a 2014 Derivative.ca/TouchDesigner feature article about his projects. He attaches 12 images including Facebook Messenger screenshots and photographic evidence. He references his website MattGuertin.com.

Email #13 (Guertin → Rogstad, Apr 26): Sent 19 minutes after Email #12. Guertin references certified mailers sent to Netflix executives containing his patent. Attaches 3 PDFs: images of certified mailers, a USPTO third-party prior art filing form marked as accepted by the USPTO, and a screenshot from USPTO EFS Web.

Thread 4: “Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please” (May 1–2, 2023)

Emails: #14–#24 | **Date range:** May 1–2, 2023 | **Direction:** 10 Guertin, 1 Rogstad

Email #14 (Guertin → Rogstad, May 1, 3:45 PM): Opens the thread by stating he has discovered “pretty damning evidence” presenting a problem for the evaluation report. He asserts that the CEO of Mark Roberts Motion Control (Assaff Rawner) had directed him to PhotoRobot, and that he has now determined the entire PhotoRobot company is “an AI generated fabrication.” He states his safety concerns and explicitly disclaims any suicidal ideation. Attaches 5 PDFs including AI checkerboard artifact analysis and a guide on recognizing fake AI-generated images.

Emails #15–#22 (Guertin → Rogstad, May 1, 3:46–4:02 PM): A rapid-fire sequence of 8 emails sent over approximately 17 minutes, each containing numbered PDF attachments (totaling 34 additional files). The PDFs appear to contain screenshot evidence from PhotoRobot-related websites with comparative analysis of alleged AI-generated content.

Email #23 (Rogstad → Guertin, May 1, 4:06 PM): Rogstad responds stating she has received “a number of email messages” and directs Guertin to stop sending messages. She states her role is to “provide an opinion to the Court, not show any documents or materials to any of the other parties.” She directs him to his attorney.

Email #24 (Guertin → Rogstad, May 1, 7:37 PM): Guertin's final email in this thread. He pushes back on the characterizations in Rogstad's report, specifically citing her use of the word "meandering" to describe information he provided about his police report. He states the report never mentions his December 24 discovery of Internet Archive fraud or other events leading up to the criminal incident. He states: "If I didn't know any better I'd say you are intentionally misrepresenting the facts." He agrees to stop sending emails.

Thread 5: "The Matt Guertin Show" (Sep 25, 2023)

Emails: #25–#26 | **Date:** Sep 25, 2023 | **Direction:** 1 Guertin, 1 Rogstad

Email #25 (Guertin → Rogstad, Sep 25): Sent approximately 147 days after the previous email. Guertin sends two Substack links concerning ChatGPT analysis of his patent situation and a message to Netflix/Eyeline Studios. He states he is "getting a crazy amount of views." He indicates that ChatGPT arrived at conclusions about his situation "all on its own" and previews a forthcoming analysis of Rogstad's examination report.

Email #26 (Rogstad → Guertin, Sep 25): Rogstad's final communication: "My involvement in your case is complete. As a result, continued communications are no longer appropriate. During a previous communication, I provided this information and requested no further contact. Consequently, I will no longer respond to messages and will be blocking your contact information."

Significance

The email chain documents a progressive escalation in the volume and specificity of evidence Guertin presented to Rogstad. Thread 1 (pre-interview) contains Guertin's self-introduction and attempts to establish the factual basis for his circumstances. Thread 2 (post-interview) provides the language analysis tools and police report he referenced during the interview. Thread 3 (post-report) presents verifiable professional publications in response to what Guertin characterizes as a misdiagnosis. Thread 4 contains the largest volume of evidence (39 attachments) regarding AI-generated content. Thread 5 introduces AI (ChatGPT) analysis of Guertin's own situation. Rogstad's responses across all threads are limited to logistical direction: she acknowledges, confirms receipt, directs Guertin to his attorney, requests cessation of contact, and ultimately blocks his communications.

Finding 6: MCRO Database Cross-Reference Timeline

Background

The MCRO forensic database contains the complete docket record for case 27-CR-23-1886 (State of Minnesota v. Guertin). This finding interleaves the email dates with docket events to place the email chain in its procedural context (see Appendix A1–A4 for SQL).

Findings

Case-Level Summary: Case 27-CR-23-1886 has a case_status of “Open” with 246 total docket events and 29 Rule 20-related events. The defendant cluster (cluster_id 1570, “MATTHEW GUERTIN”) includes a linked Mental Health case: 27-MH-PR-23-815 (Closed).

Merged Timeline: Email Chain × Docket Events (Jan–Nov 2023):

Date	Source	Event / Email Summary	Officers/Notes
2023-01-24	DOCKET	E-filed Comp-Order for Detention	Judge Wahl / Det. Johnson
2023-01-25	DOCKET	Order-Evaluation for Competency to Proceed (Rule 20.01)	Referee Norris, Lyonel
2023-01-25	DOCKET	Probable Cause Found / Hearing Held In-Person / Statement of Rights	
2023-01-26	DOCKET	Notice of Hearing	
2023-02-13	EMAIL #1	Guertin → Rogstad: Preliminary Introduction (3 pages)	
2023-02-14	EMAIL #2	Rogstad → Guertin: Acknowledges, requests no more pre-interview emails	
2023-02-14	EMAIL #3	Guertin → Rogstad: Asks about HDMI/monitor	
2023-02-15	EMAIL #4	Rogstad → Guertin: Suggests bringing device, Gov Center has WiFi	
2023-02-15	EMAIL #5	Guertin → Rogstad: Questions about recording, evaluation parameters	
2023-02-17	EMAIL #6	Rogstad → Guertin: No recordings permitted, stops responding to emails	
2023-02-20	DOCKET	Certificate of Representation (Bruce Michael Rivers) / Discovery Request / Continuance	Atty. Rivers
2023-03-01	INTERVIEW	In-person Rule 20.01 evaluation interview (Guertin × Rogstad)	
2023-03-02	EMAIL #7	Guertin → Rogstad: Language Analysis Matrix from interview discussion	
2023-03-03	EMAIL #8–10	Guertin → Rogstad: IP attorney email, police report, archive screenshots (7 att.)	
2023-03-07	EMAIL #11	Rogstad → Guertin: Confirms receipt of 4 emails + attachments	
2023-03-10	DOCKET	Rule 20 Evaluation Report / Rule 20 Report Distributed	Examiner: Dr. Jill Rogstad
2023-03-27	DOCKET	Request for Continuance (Rivers)	
2023-03-28	DOCKET	Hearing Held Remote / Request for Continuance	

2023-04-04	DOCKET	Request for Continuance	
2023-04-26	EMAIL #12–13	Guertin → Rogstad: “Psychotic Delusions” — verifiable publications + USPTO evidence (15 att.)	
2023-05-01	EMAIL #14–22	Guertin → Rogstad: “Show this to the judge” — 39 PDF attachments in 75 min	
2023-05-01	EMAIL #23	Rogstad → Guertin: Stop emailing, contact your attorney	
2023-05-01	EMAIL #24	Guertin → Rogstad: Pushes back on report, agrees to stop	
2023-06-14	DOCKET	Taken Under Advisement / Order for Continuance	Judge Dayton Klein
2023-07-07	DOCKET	Hearing Held In-Person / Taken Under Advisement	Referee Borer
2023-07-13	DOCKET	Finding of Incompetency and Order / Found Incompetent	Referee Borer / Judge Browne
2023-09-25	EMAIL #25	Guertin → Rogstad: ChatGPT/Substack analysis links	
2023-09-25	EMAIL #26	Rogstad → Guertin: Case complete, blocking contact	
2023-11-15	DOCKET	Order-Evaluation for Competency to Proceed (Rule 20.01) [2nd evaluation]	Judge Dayton Klein

Significance

The timeline reveals several measurable intervals: **16 days** elapsed between Guertin's first email (Feb 13) and the in-person interview (Mar 1); **9 days** elapsed between the interview and the Rule 20 report filing (Mar 10); **47 days** elapsed between the report filing and Guertin's “Psychotic Delusions” response (Apr 26); and **125 days** elapsed between the report filing and the Finding of Incompetency (Jul 13). The second Rule 20.01 evaluation order was issued on Nov 15, 2023, indicating that the competency question was reopened approximately 4 months after the initial incompetency finding. As of the last database scrape, the case has undergone **3 separate Rule 20 evaluators** (Rogstad, Milz, Cranbrook) and remains Open with 29 Rule 20-related events.

Finding 7: Factual Claims Registry

Background

Every specific, verifiable factual claim made by Guertin in the email chain was extracted and cataloged. Claims are categorized by type and assigned a verification method. All claims carry a status of “Pending Verification” in this Part 1 report; independent verification will be conducted in Part 2. The complete registry is in Appendix D.

Findings

42 verifiable factual claims were identified across the 26 emails. The distribution by category is:

Category	Claims
Patent / Intellectual Property	12
Professional Achievement	8
Business / Corporate	5
Internet Archive / AI Fraud	7
Law Enforcement / Procedural	6
Mental Health Characterization	4
TOTAL	42

Representative claims from each category (full registry in Appendix D):

#	Email	Claim (paraphrased)	Category	Verification Source
1	#1	Patent application filed March 19, 2021	Patent/IP	USPTO records
2	#1	Patent grants February 14, 2023	Patent/IP	USPTO records
3	#1	Netflix patent (Trojansky) filed March 31, 2021	Patent/IP	USPTO records
4	#1	InfiniSet, Inc. is a Delaware C-corp; Guertin is CEO	Business	DE SOS records
5	#1	Netflix acquired Scanline VFX for ~\$100M+	Business	SEC filings, press
6	#1	Police report: Minnetonka PD, #23-000151, Jan 12, 2023	Law Enforcement	PD records
7	#12	PLSN magazine article credits Guertin as engineer at XiteLabs	Professional	PLSN archives
8	#12	BlackTrax showcase credits Guertin for Falcon engineering	Professional	blacktrax.cast-soft.com
9	#12	Disguise.one case study credits Guertin for LA Philharmonic	Professional	disguise.one
10	#13	Certified mailers sent to Netflix executives	Patent/IP	USPS tracking

Significance

The claims registry provides a structured framework for independent verification. Notable characteristics include: (a) multiple claims reference publicly accessible URLs (PLSN, BlackTrax, Disguise.one, Derivative.ca) that can be verified without requiring special access; (b)

patent and corporate claims are verifiable through government databases (USPTO, Delaware Secretary of State); (c) the police report claim includes a specific report number and date verifiable through Minnetonka PD records; and (d) the Internet Archive / AI fraud claims are the most complex, potentially requiring forensic analysis of archived web pages.

Finding 8: Broader MCRO Context Connection

Background

Exhibit EML-B exists within the broader context of the MCRO forensic analysis corpus, which encompasses 4,251 PDFs across 245 cases in the Fourth Judicial District. This section connects the exhibit to the larger forensic findings.

Findings

Case 27-CR-23-1886 is the anchor case for the entire MCRO forensic database. It is the case of the pro se plaintiff who constructed the database and conducted the forensic analysis documented in the MCRO Initial Forensic Analysis Report. The Rule 20.01 evaluation report produced by Dr. Rogstad following the email exchange documented in this exhibit was the first incompetency finding in this case — the event that initiated Guertin's entry into the competency evaluation pipeline.

The broader MCRO corpus documents systematic patterns across the Fourth Judicial District's document production and competency systems, including: identical judicial signature images reused across hundreds of cases; bespoke "tracking font" objects embedded across 1,551 documents; IronPDF-generated documents with creation dates matching download dates (not filing dates); MSIP enterprise sensitivity labels from a single Microsoft 365 tenant spanning three judicial branches; and statistically anomalous Rule 20 competency event concentrations in dormant cases. The judicial officers who appear on Guertin's case — including Judges Dayton Klein, Browne, and Koch, and Referees Borer, Mercurio, and Norris — are among the officers identified in the broader corpus analysis.

This exhibit provides the defendant's contemporaneous, SHA-256-authenticated documentation of the facts he presented to the evaluator before and after the Rule 20.01 interview. It creates a verifiable record against which the evaluation's characterizations can be independently tested — a record whose integrity is cryptographically guaranteed and whose temporal existence is anchored to the Bitcoin blockchain.

LIMITATIONS & ALTERNATIVE EXPLANATIONS

Exhibit parsing limitations: Text extraction from the 500-page PDF was performed using pdftotext, which does not preserve all formatting. Some attachment filenames may be slightly truncated or reformatted during extraction. The SHA-256 hashes are reproduced as extracted from the text; independent verification should compare against the original .eml files available at MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml.

PACER embedded file functionality: The PACER/CM-ECF system may strip or deactivate embedded file objects during its filing process. The [.eml source file], [.ots timestamp], and [Metadata] markers in the exhibit indicate these objects were present in the original PDF but may not be extractable from the PACER-stamped version.

Claims registry completeness: The 42 claims identified represent those that are specific and independently verifiable. Additional assertions that are matters of opinion, interpretation, or are not independently verifiable were not included. The registry may not capture every claim; Part 2 will refine as verification proceeds.

Database coverage: The MCRO database contains docket events as scraped from the public MCRO system. Events that are sealed, redacted, or not published on MCRO would not appear. The Rule 20 Evaluation Report itself is not available as a PDF in the children table (has_pdf = false for those events), consistent with standard practice of not making competency reports publicly downloadable.

Narrative neutrality: Thread summaries describe email content as written. Guertin's use of irony (particularly in Email #12) is identified as a rhetorical device but is not interpreted as to intent. Rogstad's responses are characterized factually by their content.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION

1. Download the original exhibit from MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml and verify that the embedded .eml files are extractable and that their SHA-256 hashes match those recorded in this report.
2. Independently compute SHA-256 hashes of the extracted .eml files using any standard tool (sha256sum on Linux, certutil on Windows, shasum on Mac) and compare against the hashes in the Authentication Registry (Appendix B).
3. Verify OTS timestamps by running the OpenTimestamps verification tool (ots verify) against the .ots files embedded in the original exhibit. This will confirm the Bitcoin block in which each email's hash was recorded.
4. Begin independent verification of the Factual Claims Registry (Appendix D) starting with publicly accessible sources: PLSN magazine archives, BlackTrax/cast-soft.com showcase pages, Disguise.one case studies, Derivative.ca community posts, USPTO patent records, and Delaware Secretary of State corporate filings.
5. Cross-reference the MCRO database docket events with the official MCRO public portal to confirm the event dates and names for case 27-CR-23-1886.

APPENDIX | REPRODUCIBLE SQL QUERIES

A1 — Case-Level Summary for 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT cr.case_uid, cr.case_id, cr.defendant_name, cr.cluster_id, cr.cluster_name,
p.case_status, p.case_type FROM case_registry cr LEFT JOIN parents p ON cr.case_uid = p.case_uid
WHERE cr.case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
```

A2 — All Docket Events in 2023 for 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT event_index, event_json__date AS event_date, event_name, event_json__party AS
party, event_json__filing_party_name AS filing_party, event_json__judicial_officer AS
jud_officer, event_json__examiners AS examiners FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid =
'case:27-cr-23-1886' AND event_json__date BETWEEN '2023-01-01' AND '2023-12-31' ORDER BY
event_json__date, event_index;
```

A3 — All Rule 20-Related Events (Full Timeline)

```
SELECT event_index, event_json__date AS event_date, event_name, event_json__judicial_officer AS
event_json__filing_party_name AS filing_party, event_json__judicial_officer AS
jud_officer, event_json__examiners AS examiners FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid =
'case:27-cr-23-1886' AND event_name ~* '(rule.?20|incompeten|competenc|found.?incomp|forensic.?
nav)' ORDER BY event_json__date;
```

A4 — PDF Documents Filed in 2023

```
SELECT evidence_uid, filing_date, filing_type, filename FROM children WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-
cr-23-1886' AND filing_date BETWEEN '2023-01-01' AND '2023-12-31' ORDER BY filing_date;
```

A5 — Cluster-Linked Cases (cluster_id 1570)

```
SELECT cr.case_uid, cr.case_id, cr.defendant_name, p.case_status, p.case_type FROM
case_registry cr LEFT JOIN parents p ON cr.case_uid = p.case_uid WHERE cr.cluster_id = 1570 ORDER
BY cr.case_id;
```

A6 — Total Event Counts

```
SELECT (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886'
AND event_name ~* '(rule.?20|incompeten|competenc|found.?incomp|forensic.?nav)') AS
r20_event_count, (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-
1886') AS total_event_count;
```

APPENDIX B | Complete .eml Authentication Registry

All 26 emails with full SHA-256 hashes, dates (UTC), and authentication marker status.

Email #1

Property	Value
Subject	Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts
Date (UTC)	2023-02-13T22:59:59Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	3964338855380a0a00ace51fe9c4bd9c90df0cd46649a7d3c94f474dc289a643
Pages in Exhibit	3
Attachments	0
Thread	1
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #2

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts
Date (UTC)	2023-02-14T22:16:43Z
From	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
To	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
SHA-256 (.eml)	2a3506609057b431eec49e535ad8a907814ea59b772a97948b941a4cb3e1930b
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	1
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #3

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts
Date (UTC)	2023-02-15T03:40:17Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	fff5af7ee36e6f9d1e106aff03a580e138b458b2434c2102f4a23f8c857cbb80
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	1
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #4

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts
Date (UTC)	2023-02-15T22:40:43Z
From	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
To	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
SHA-256 (.eml)	ff7d12dff75e72917f6fa60cc274699384013652896ccc1c70adcc0c7d268089
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	1
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #5

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts
Date (UTC)	2023-02-16T00:11:58Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	8308f5ed89a25a30fd4342901b1fa36c514f22de0f1cd3f85c138f5b960f944c
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	1
Thread	1
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #6

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew D. Guertin - Preliminary Introduction and Discussion of Facts
Date (UTC)	2023-02-17T20:50:11Z
From	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
To	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
SHA-256 (.eml)	d3a8fd1babc141ea6b86b487c9127df1886c701f623cfd6f7de7031a48c0c8a
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	1
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #7

Property	Value
Subject	Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix
Date (UTC)	2023-03-03T03:44:21Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	351320933c34db97d8424b3fe561c8994e26278ca8a02cc9d4968ee4e72233b9
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	1
Thread	2
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #8

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix
Date (UTC)	2023-03-03T06:04:10Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	bddaa8505ae6e8a72e2c98780dab4bb7799c81f81ed32d8ffd1b0aecfc2e67d2
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	3
Thread	2
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #9

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix
Date (UTC)	2023-03-03T06:07:42Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	a3cf8f69bab1b340ddcb64e544446c27f0f632ddead30300978a5c279ccf48eb
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	1
Thread	2
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #10

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix
Date (UTC)	2023-03-03T06:14:05Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	34b6ac95dce26ea583e9d3c4bc6823ad17f328434d7a9f459cca1a3efdeb727
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	2
Thread	2
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #11

Property	Value
Subject	RE: RE: Matthew Guertin - Language Analysis Matrix
Date (UTC)	2023-03-07T16:45:25Z
From	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
To	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
SHA-256 (.eml)	4e30a57fde6b179ec0c3327ac2b292a25676b23815dd724c551a09ccb7fed2d2
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	2
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #12

Property	Value
Subject	Need Help Overcoming These Very Realistic Psychotic Delusions
Date (UTC)	2023-04-26T18:37:17Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	0a826898cb4c4845359019e67208cd861c6f63730d2c067bc3bdf2171d9e205e
Pages in Exhibit	4
Attachments	12
Thread	3
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #13

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Need Help Overcoming These Very Realistic Psychotic Delusions
Date (UTC)	2023-04-26T18:56:01Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	23a196e93ea6919e51a36eb26deed144d5895de2376b0e875cd446c7bdae0e66
Pages in Exhibit	4
Attachments	3
Thread	3
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #14

Property	Value
Subject	Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:45:24Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	ca2e462851796bbbd8b49c76fea9347a80373c55746a2025727e794e15340fdb
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	5
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #15

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:46:18Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	9cb8e6adac263b2075d4d86e48c5d98bd75b20be0fca5bbb088e06cccdadb8f
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	5
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #16

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:47:40Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	e462f091ec2a966ed382a057732eb1bef8925030ea3232f9a8e702f1021693c5
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	7
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #17

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:48:43Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	b6dc1093db96bc42159667ef93da8ad218ee646c7afd1c4bcb2aa66e99339d56
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	7
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #18

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:50:02Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	513ed4303f1ff91420a5aa8df35710d62db439e5aa027014edbeac55a4dc6ca0
Pages in Exhibit	3
Attachments	5
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #19

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:50:51Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	0736af7cf9202fb27cb7e8210852a74617e23e6140577d1e7b7dcfc60dded8d6
Pages in Exhibit	3
Attachments	3
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #20

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:51:58Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	f9de84d2c049d87ddf9e358756e13640bd6a6c064525857e1755a18ec32d14ce
Pages in Exhibit	3
Attachments	3
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #21

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T20:53:05Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	7db7ca0b82e019e2f59dd8f9960a27b9496a545244bb7a6a1642590a4ee616b3
Pages in Exhibit	4
Attachments	3
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #22

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T21:02:13Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	bc9a5e2db96ab73d7f3bb53e9946c57244e2530d7b6dcb854d3c3f2876badba4
Pages in Exhibit	5
Attachments	1
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #23

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-01T21:06:45Z
From	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
To	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
SHA-256 (.eml)	676ce5d8961b9cb3a34644645f4a08ea69db085ee5f34272060fa03a456c0a0a
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #24

Property	Value
Subject	RE: Show This to the Judge and Prosecutor Please
Date (UTC)	2023-05-02T00:37:48Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	1b4e4e4b3f0fb8e47ddbd016291142385e3a282e9f5417c84d7b6cd98d87c75a
Pages in Exhibit	2
Attachments	0
Thread	4
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #25

Property	Value
Subject	The Matt Guertin Show
Date (UTC)	2023-09-25T14:51:32Z
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
To	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
SHA-256 (.eml)	431bce7fc8824cdd79289dc7c59b728628a6469a6b70efa21d504d4c312fe971
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	5
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

Email #26

Property	Value
Subject	RE: The Matt Guertin Show
Date (UTC)	2023-09-25T16:36:11Z
From	Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us
To	MattGuertin@protonmail.com
SHA-256 (.eml)	58d2605fb6407607f248ac70decebd954a1c6d0dea0b471225e0e3b7698d90af
Pages in Exhibit	1
Attachments	0
Thread	5
OTS Marker	Present
Metadata Marker	Present
.eml Source Marker	Present

APPENDIX C | Complete Attachment Inventory

Ref	Filename	SHA-256	Type
5-1	psychotherapy.pdf	afed33cf6fdab1335ac14eada23de9c90926f4c2dfb64777e5d3de269da20c44	PDF
7-1	Matrix.png	5b0fcc51d137060502f2a9dca3ae6b5887065e761dc6cb4ae43026586436e81f	PNG
8-1	Email_correspondence_with_IP_at_torney_disc...	c69d876647b5c2b813c877c36dd857ededffbb05d0ca92cf96b3ff5e54091048	PDF
8-2	WaybackMachine Signup Email 12-09-2023 02-...	e010e1926b4ebd4b38a356964bd6ce78ba0da22dab69ac87d83f86fa2ace1546	PDF
8-3	Mntka PD Police Report 23-000151 1-12-2023...	9b91ebf3b4cc09159fbf424fff1affcd5801173bdfdf1bb16c579be3bebad8be	PDF
9-1	screenshot-web-archive_photorobot-com-b...	73479fc692b4b2851cd4501a4e298ba83460e23ab4cff328ed705ac7084160f6	PDF
10-1	screenshot-web-archive_photorobot-com-b...	6ac728976ea744974341dfccce75f750e7ed24fd86e82f373ca4b6184aa313ac	PDF
10-2	Show_and_tell.pdf	1a784669851ae1ce606af35ab5908b93c2c1caf394ffc5643e37bdb898480616	PDF
12-1	InkedScreenshot_20230426-101243_Messenger.jpg	6c15ea12549f6418883c6f302eb501e81a652e1f8623935adcf4127b37222a6b	JPG
12-2	Inked20220108_1659422.jpg	beee948697e7f583a9efec973865c3bfeaa96be7846b2dd461f46ae610acce57	JPG
12-3	ODF_Optronics.jpg	adec6a2cc44f6f5cd855675440f9cb5c3f5d228841c82df86ab2b498c9f51e47	JPG
12-4	ODF_Company.jpg	2fad429f1a6bf45379b2c506b493c9e89f95ad76b1274857f2fd8f584533d838	JPG
12-5	20220108_162218.jpg	21195f1d4d1209f4577263a78e2f7dff3f68b52038e2e2978fc95281e30ceada	JPG
12-6	20220108_165950.jpg	bff599899c322a49eb09bcff43e95cdbcb9c885a5f37a77d92fbbbd61fd56ac	JPG
12-7	Inked20220108_165942.jpg	41a45bad833af11ad0d41a7ee9d542fad8d2bb08077c3f979fa4828948e00dc8	JPG
12-8	20220108_165942.jpg	476f9059ec559a6635de9dec671109ce3c5c24ebbd121f7e06c5efd2ed42e937	JPG
12-9	Screenshot_20230423-193622_Brave.jpg	e962ee26aca7aa0332882094e272ce861ffe26ea7eb54acc1034d4d21c5b4477	JPG
12-10	20220602_070235.jpg	71d02976e9830e457a15ba54e90306a8833a885bf493a1f065ff36e05b2c350e	JPG
12-11	Screenshot_20230426-101247_Messenger.jpg	2f841278ea4a6c3e8d8bb8f278eb1eeb6fd1951b39d48dfec9d6c08415c87944	JPG
12-12	Screenshot_20230426-101358_Messenger.jpg	4468de92af01ae509a3c0d4cdc44f664b3928cea84b098677cbb00617a81ce42	JPG
13-1	Certified_Mailer_Images_3.pdf	680dc50dbd1189b3d9cca6e1775fca38672a44cf8c5831108156f240a10c0fea	PDF
13-2	Netflix_3rd_Party_Filing_Form_ACCEPTED_BY_...	e549a8d8a36ca929043712ffbc96a4c65b228719b9b5faace603acf98638d078	PDF

13-3	ScreenCap_from_USPTO_EFS_Web.pdf	427c1b78be85593fa290e9f058cc7f508c6f8e593f648d631c5df1eeeb25f7a8	PDF
14-1	1_1.pdf	80e5403e33e85a625265d84e3b888351f8ad5d2db9f7852067899096e69413f5	PDF
14-2	1_2.pdf	137ff1046f2cec014e41464591627ffd05b194c8484bcd9eb6b897a21554d3d	PDF
14-3	1_3.pdf	354f6c405a17611d442105676b4b9b630f5028bea3787e9ed705c2b6dbb32388	PDF
14-4	AI_CHECKERBOARD_ARTIFACTS_ATSIP.2019.2.pdf	65af5920e964d347f38a46c331af461ee51844d2c0d6241f3f8a615a1451bc97	PDF
14-5	How_To_Recognize_fake_AI_generated_images.pdf	29ccba925077ee3eb60d7f5b148174d118cd208cd9a98e59648ddf9c004c349b	PDF
15-1	1_1-2.pdf	ece598c1aa6fa0306c3d001ef767a267ddcd5146570eccbb3b4998c2041cbaf4	PDF
15-2	1_1-3.pdf	a64bcf2ff0c95f101d76abfdebcfcca1c84fe165a7db8b6fcfeb746cfa49e415	PDF
15-3	1_4.pdf	69bdace5c1eef07d067d4f0262d1d2187db1f39611bde6e891a04a4a327800eb	PDF
15-4	1_5.pdf	4966e648c5eaff7376d4f0a8a179ff0ce92da87fca80dc51db3be53f7ebcb45b	PDF
15-5	1_6.pdf	49200110fab583328e243a727cdec08a457c25903a995e5b52b3bc5d7b392831	PDF
16-1	1_1-4.pdf	92172492f510539ebc90788a1d82a9a85cf9f79e865af3b21e73f6f3244bc00f	PDF
16-2	1_1-5.pdf	240b53c598cf3219d1761032be9a513c4725ca46bf99ae0aa370b90fe18a4870	PDF
16-3	1_1-6.pdf	27ef083dbabed8cbb53a04f42e98e2f3ec836c94610d1a4c9f7a5a06b6ca3cb0	PDF
16-4	2_1.pdf	16a256598e195765f3069a19fc5e9106f89309943d6068a60ada69c85af96b5a	PDF
16-5	2_2.pdf	64560f508880bf36a780d29510fdfe678207f623944e935e4a7207230421b787	PDF
16-6	2_3.pdf	e26b673a3b6923f9aab216cc10aeeed69e76fa4737ddca26559d7503e38c1fd9a	PDF
16-7	2_4.pdf	a50e781ab171ed9bf4da4783621cda5525406062ccfee112bb96c1158c093629	PDF
17-1	2_1-2.pdf	e18a3231343795b031d103fc791640a40a965f9e942966847154d77f6f672049	PDF
17-2	2_1-3.pdf	ddecdd590e7527d1ddad2a6652f49ed1737aa85587807760a33fa94019699e81c	PDF
17-3	2_1-4.pdf	754791827f74aaf0cc152b514d4106b8902ea4bd45483da80902a4b02d6e3d59	PDF
17-4	2_1-5.pdf	e1f9a2fd1ed32b321a5cd1bfad23e60459d6696a01ee66aa2ec8c5414d97b650	PDF
17-5	2_5.pdf	7b26e231e9037fd214a4d15979d9a3c9e9380e8a426a76c08b97a27556ea4bb4	PDF
17-6	2_6.pdf	a409e4d33568c79e6385f38eea12d056e27c44763ffc9df7af63e7b1f3e7a403	PDF

17-7	2_7.pdf	76e170a822219024707bf48a49df6a6e78e2a01eb8858aa49ee7edfd7e2fce36	PDF
18-1	2_1-6.pdf	ef673b89a8f47a658e2586cf3dde0e8f818dd6fba3791e3a841d29b4cc113562	PDF
18-2	2_1-7.pdf	06cfed0ced7c9d03cb0143dc5ea448d9adcfa2242bc09db6f4dd01482a63af07	PDF
18-3	3_1.pdf	1a704b5649dece2bf38580a480475358f674d61c19f4de03178dd7983b9f9774	PDF
18-4	3_2.pdf	edf0c502d9f917ad49db4e1a024bd05011960c383034816391925871ea760f67	PDF
18-5	3_3.pdf	5211fca094c0590aa382801a0e9e85a0da9e8711cc8c69da41d685d04656c36c	PDF
19-1	3_4.pdf	2dfd778508758cea8ac128ebb191d91d08eea97fa72b72c927bedae7901a3b45	PDF
19-2	3_5.pdf	bba187d10ea952bb02905f5b016c6c8247376a308cbd67c765037b6057e79f03	PDF
19-3	3_6.pdf	1b554e53fb545ce163995cd1fad63f7b61f606a00031aa7a5357425fed301e22	PDF
20-1	3_1-3.pdf	cf65252426a9f29790b69f27ad93b6cf54e2e277ef57595c6ef42c049e2d11f4	PDF
20-2	3_6.pdf (duplicate)	1b554e53fb545ce163995cd1fad63f7b61f606a00031aa7a5357425fed301e22	PDF
20-3	3_7.pdf	3792d035ca35fb00bc729c0ba17e64d17d9d3d32bb6d8b89d041055526e35792	PDF
21-1	3_1-4.pdf	5cfce563ae4b72ce3d636a0b4535e4d30fe3489db16f5fae518fadb352b6b64e	PDF
21-2	3_1-5.pdf	6cf40a796f1d2d5929c9c22eff0fbbe781842ce50c2e028de36e902626212915	PDF
21-3	3_1-6.pdf	05c14190fd6f967013a06079efbd6c80ffebf0caebd9fc32e6cb6666a046e6c9	PDF
22-1	PhotoRobot_Checkerboard_Artifacts_Examined...	063ca0c8d302ea2014f94d8a73273b2c8338f755ce8a93b6a56923ef2898b89a	PDF

APPENDIX D | Factual Claims Registry

#	Email	Claim (paraphrased)	Category	Verification	Status
1	#1	Patent application filed March 19, 2021	Patent/IP	USPTO PAIR	Pending
2	#1	Patent grants on February 14, 2023 (Valentine's Day)	Patent/IP	USPTO records	Pending
3	#1	Patent filed as prior art against Trojansky/Netflix patent (filed Mar 31, 2021)	Patent/IP	USPTO 3rd party sub.	Pending
4	#1	InfiniSet, Inc. is a Delaware C-corp; Guertin is CEO	Business	DE SOS / corp filings	Pending
5	#1	Patent is assigned to InfiniSet, Inc.	Patent/IP	USPTO assignment db	Pending
6	#1	Netflix acquired Scanline VFX (Trojansky's co.) for \$100M+	Business	SEC filings / press	Pending
7	#1	Netflix patent inventor: Stephan Trojansky (US 11,810,254)	Patent/IP	USPTO records	Pending
8	#1	Police report filed with Minnetonka PD, #23-000151, Jan 12, 2023	Law Enforcement	Minnetonka PD records	Pending
9	#1	Police report filed 9 days before criminal incident	Law Enforcement	PD records + docket	Pending
10	#1	Internet Archive/Wayback Machine being edited with backdated pages	IA/AI Fraud	Archive analysis	Pending
11	#1	Mark Roberts Motion Control CEO Assaff Rawner directed Guertin to PhotoRobot	Business	Email correspondence	Pending
12	#1	Email from MPMC CEO received first week of November (2022)	Business	Email records	Pending
13	#5	Rogstad is a PhD-level clinical forensic psychologist	Mental Health	Public credentials	Pending
14	#7	Language analysis matrix created using MAXQDA software	IA/AI Fraud	MAXQDA file metadata	Pending
15	#7	Matrix has creation date of December 12, 2022	IA/AI Fraud	File metadata	Pending
16	#7	In-person interview took place on March 1, 2023	Procedural	Exhibit + docket	Pending
17	#8	IP attorney correspondence regarding fraud exists	Patent/IP	Attachment 8-1	Pending
18	#8	Wayback Machine signup email dated Dec 9, 2022	IA/AI Fraud	Attachment 8-2	Pending
19	#8	Minnetonka PD Police Report #23-000151 dated Jan 12, 2023	Law Enforcement	Attachment 8-3	Pending
20	#12	PLSN magazine article credits Guertin as engineer at XiteLabs (LED eye)	Professional	plsn.com article	Pending
21	#12	Guertin designed/engineered 420 metal parts, 268 acrylic pieces, ~2000 solder points	Professional	plsn.com article	Pending
22	#12	BlackTrax/cast-soft showcase credits Guertin for Falcon design & engineering	Professional	blacktrax.cast-soft.com	Pending

2 3	#12	Disguise.one LA Philharmonic case study credits Guertin for TouchDesigner system	Professional	disguise.one	Pending
2 4	#12	Derivative.ca published front-page feature about Guertin in 2014	Professional	derivative.ca	Pending
2 5	#12	Isabelle Rousette (Derivative) messaged that patent would 'revolutionize the industry'	Professional	Facebook Messenger	Pending
2 6	#12	Greg Hermanovick (TouchDesigner creator) also created SideFX/Houdini	Professional	Public record	Pending
2 7	#12	MattGuertin.com website exists documenting projects	Professional	mattguertin.com	Pending
2 8	#12	Israeli company ODF manufactures 'special ops gear' shown in attached photos	Law Enforcement	ODF website	Pending
2 9	#13	Certified mailers sent to Netflix executives containing patent	Patent/IP	USPS tracking + att.	Pending
3 0	#13	USPTO third-party prior art submission filed and accepted	Patent/IP	USPTO EFS Web	Pending
3 1	#14	PhotoRobot company is an AI-generated fabrication	IA/AI Fraud	Forensic analysis	Pending
3 2	#14	Videos and websites associated with PhotoRobot are AI-generated	IA/AI Fraud	Forensic analysis	Pending
3 3	#14	Mark Roberts Motion Control CEO pointed Guertin to PhotoRobot	Business	Email (att. 8-1)	Pending
3 4	#14	3-week delay before Rawner replied to Guertin's initial email	Business	Email timestamps	Pending
3 5	#24	Rogstad used word 'meandering' to describe police report information	Mental Health	R20 report text	Pending
3 6	#24	Report does not mention Dec 24 discovery of IA fraud	Mental Health	R20 report text	Pending
3 7	#24	Report does not mention other events leading up to incident	Mental Health	R20 report text	Pending
3 8	#24	Rogstad asked 'when did you first think your safety was at risk?' during interview	Procedural	Interview content	Pending
3 9	#25	ChatGPT analysis published on Substack (mattguertin.substack.com)	IA/AI Fraud	Substack URL	Pending
4 0	#25	ChatGPT independently arrived at conclusions about Guertin's patent situation	Patent/IP	Substack post	Pending
4 1	#1	Guertin had patent attorneys confirm his patent situation as analogous to 'winning the lottery'	Patent/IP	Attorney correspondence	Pending
4 2	#1	~100GB of digital forensic evidence collected from Internet Archive	IA/AI Fraud	Digital evidence	Pending